HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINTAL

Bail Application No. 1157 of 2006

CRIMINAL SIDE

Bijendra Kumar S/o Ramesh
Village Dhariwala, P.S. Patri,
District Haridwar

.....Applicant/Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal

..... Opposite Party

Case Crime No. 112 of 2006
Under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 323 I.P.C. & ¾ Dowry Prevention Act
P.S. Pathri, District- Haridwar

Dated: 8.12.06

By the Court

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri M.K. Goyal, Advocate for the applicant and the A.G.A. for the prosecution.

Accused applied Bijendra Kumar has applied for bail in case crime No. 1 of 2006 under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 323 I.P.C. & ¾ Dowry Prevention Act, P.S. Pathri.

According to the prosecution case the applicant and his family members turned out the daughter of the complainant from her matrimonial house on 3.10.2006 and on the same day they came to the house of the complainant and inflicted injuries on the person of the daughter of complainant.

Accused applicant has submitted that the Marriage Counselling Cell has found no case cruelty or demand of

dowry but on the direction of the Magistrate, F.I.R. was lodged by the Police.

Counsel for the applicant has submitted that the main allegations are levelled against the husband of the prosecutrix whereas the present applicant is her father-in-law.

I have considered the respective submissions of the parties. Without expressing anything on the merit of the case and considering the totality of facts and circumstances, in my opinion, it is a fit case for bail.

Let the accused applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

Rajesh Tandon, J.

Dated 8.12.2006
*** Dhyani**